

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/850,101	05/08/2001	Alan Cushway	017.39626X00	9587	
20457	7590 10/07/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	LI, TERRY, STOUT	BEAMER,	BEAMER, TEMICA M		
1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET SUITE 1800		REEI	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTO	N, VA 22209-9889		2681		

DATE MAILED: 10/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

8

			\wedge
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/850,101	CUSHWAY, ALAN	\mathcal{A}
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Temica M. Beamer	2681	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a relion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT y statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this commun ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>02 July 2004</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice up	•	•	its is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-54 is/are pending in the application Papers 4) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-54 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Example of Example 2 is/are: 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection	thdrawn from consideration. and/or election requirement. aminer. accepted or b) □ objected to b	=	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the			121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in Apele priority documents have been in Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this N ational Stag	e
Attachment(s) I) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Discrete Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9)	48) Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date	
B) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/24/04</u> .	SB/08) 5) Notice of In: 6) Other:	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	-

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-54 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-16, 18, 20, 23, 25, 27-43, 45 and 47-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Littig et al (Littig), U.S. Patent No. 5,524,276.

Regarding claims 1, 28, 49 and 52, Littig discloses a grapevine driven updating method comprising providing a first piece of updated data to a first mobile terminal (universal radio) (col. 4, lines 39-67) and forwarding the first updated piece of data from the first mobile terminal to a second mobile terminal (the repaired or replacement radio) via the communication link (col. 5, lines 1-11; figures 4A-4C).

Littig, however, fails to specifically disclose initiating an inquiry from the first mobile terminal to a second mobile terminal via a communication link, the inquiry asking the second mobile terminal whether it wishes to receive the first updated piece of data; forwarding a response from the second mobile terminal to the first mobile terminal via

Application/Control Number: 09/850,101

Art Unit: 2681

the communication link in response to the inquiry from the first mobile terminal, the response acknowledging that it wishes to receive the first updated piece of data.

Littig does teach an inquiry and response method wherein the inquiry comes from the second terminal and the response comes from the first terminal (col. 8, line 33-col. 9, line 23).

Therefore, at the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Littig with the first terminal sends an inquiry and the second terminal sends a response, as such a reversal of features would only require routine skill in the art.

Regarding claims 2, 3, 29, 30, 50, 51, 53 and 54, they are rejected for the same reasons as set forth in claims 1, 28, 49 and 52 specifically because teaches the foundation of mobile terminals capable of downloading information between them with the use of inquiry/response messages (col. 4, lines 39-67, col. 5, lines 1-11 and col. 8, line 33-col. 9, line 23).

Regarding claims 4-15 and 31-42, Littig discloses the methods of claims 1 and 28 as described above. Littig, however, fails to disclose wherein the communication link between the mobile terminals are of the wireless type as described in claims 4-15 and 31-42. Littig rather discloses wherein the communication link is a data bus/RS232 interface (col. 4, lines 17-38).

The examiner contends, however, that it is well known in the art for mobile terminals to communicate information between each other wirelessly using methods described in claims 4-15 and 31-42, and the examiner takes official notice as such.

Therefore, at the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the wire connection in Littig with wireless connectivity between the wireless devices for the transmission of data, as such feature would reduce cable connections.

Regarding claims 16, 18, 20, 43, 45 and 47, Littig as modified discloses the method of claims 1 and 28, wherein initiating an inquiry comprises forwarding an inquiry via the communication link upon detection of another mobile terminal being connected to the communication link (col. 6, line 64-col. 7, line 6).

4. Claims 17, 19, 21, 44, 46 and 48 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Littig in view of Raith, U.S. Patent No. 6,493,550.

Regarding claims 17, 19, 21, 44, 46 and 48, Littig as modified fails to disclose initiating an inquiry comprises forwarding an inquiry via the communication link at preset time intervals.

In a similar field of endeavor, Raith discloses system proximity detection by mobile stations and further discloses initiating an inquiry comprises forwarding an inquiry via the communication Link at preset time intervals (column 7, lines 1-3 and 9-1 1, note "continuously for e.g. every few seconds" reads on "preset time interval"). Therefore, at the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, to modify the Littig with the above teachings of Raith, in order to forward an inquiry via the communication link at preset time intervals (as suggested by Raith - column 7, lines 1-3).

Application/Control Number: 09/850,101

Art Unit: 2681

5. Claims 22, 24 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Littig in view of Erekson, U.S. Patent No. 6,622,018.

Regarding claims 22, 24 and 26, Littig as modified fails to disclose forwarding a response comprises automatically forwarding a response upon receipt of the inquiry.

In a similar field of endeavor, Erekson discloses a portable device control console with wireless connection. Erekson further discloses forwarding a response comprising automatically forwarding a response upon receipt of the inquiry (column 8, lines 38-41, note broadcast message is an inquiry for which see column 8, line 35).

Therefore, at the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, to modify Littig with the above teachings of Erekson, in order to have the additional option of automatically responding to an inquiry.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Turner, U.S. Patent No. 6,041,229.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Temica M. Beamer whose telephone number is (703) 306-5837. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (alternate Fridays) 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Hudspeth can be reached on (703) 308-4825. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2681

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Temica M. Beamer Examiner Art Unit 2681

October 1, 2004

Jenua M. Beamer